

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/656,494	Applicant(s) BALFANZ ET AL.	
	Examiner NIRAV PATEL	Art Unit 2435	

All Participants:

 (1) Mr. Shun Yao (Reg. No. 59,242).

 (2) Nirav Patel.
Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2009
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During the telephone conference, Mr. Yao has agreed and authorized examiner to amend the specification to overcome the specification objection to provide proper antecedent basis for claims 13-15 and further, to amend the dependency of claim 23, which is now depend on Claim 16 instead of cancelled claim 22.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

